



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

## The Diverse Function of Macrophages in Renal Disease

**Citation for published version:**

Duthie, F, O'Sullivan, ED & Hughes, J 2016, 'The Diverse Function of Macrophages in Renal Disease', *Kidney International*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2016.08.004>

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

[10.1016/j.ekir.2016.08.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2016.08.004)

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Peer reviewed version

**Published In:**

Kidney International

**Publisher Rights Statement:**

Under a Creative Commons license

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# Accepted Manuscript

The Diverse Function of Macrophages in Renal Disease

Fiona Duthie, Eoin D. O'Sullivan, Jeremy Hughes

PII: S2468-0249(16)30056-0

DOI: [10.1016/j.ekir.2016.08.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2016.08.004)

Reference: EKIR 34

To appear in: *Kidney International Reports*

Received Date: 27 May 2016

Revised Date: 5 August 2016

Accepted Date: 5 August 2016

Please cite this article as: Duthie F, O'Sullivan ED, Hughes J, The Diverse Function of Macrophages in Renal Disease, *Kidney International Reports* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.ekir.2016.08.004.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## ISN Forefronts Symposium 2015

### The Diverse Function of Macrophages in Renal Disease

Fiona Duthie<sup>1,2</sup>, Eoin D O'Sullivan<sup>1</sup> and Jeremy Hughes<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Renal Medicine, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK and <sup>2</sup>MRC Centre for Inflammation Research, Queen's Medical Research Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

**Main text Word count: 2296**

**Running title:** Macrophages and Kidney Disease

Corresponding author: Professor Jeremy Hughes

Room W2.19, MRC Centre for Inflammation Research  
The Queen's Medical Research Institute  
47 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh, EH16 4TJ  
Tel 44-131-2426683  
Fax 44-131-2426578

Email: [jeremy.hughes@ed.ac.uk](mailto:jeremy.hughes@ed.ac.uk)

**Acknowledgements:** JH is supported by the Cunningham Trust (CT13/16), the Mrs AE Hogg Charitable Trust and Kidney Research UK. FD is supported by a Clinical Research Training Fellowship funded by the MRC and Kidney Research UK (R42848). The authors have no conflict of interests to disclose.

## Abstract

Experimental and human studies indicate that macrophages play a key role within the diseased kidney and represent a target for novel therapies. This brief review outlines the involvement and nature of macrophages in renal disease and highlights the phenotypic plasticity of these cells and their responsiveness to the renal microenvironment.

## Key Words

Macrophage, kidney, inflammation, fibrosis

## Macrophage Phenotype

Monocytes and macrophages are key components of the mononuclear phagocyte system (1). Whilst dendritic cells are specialised for immune surveillance and the activation of the adaptive immune system, macrophages are highly phagocytic cells that are involved in tissue development and homeostasis, inflammation, fibrosis as well as tissue repair (2, 3). Difficulties can arise, however, as there is significant overlap between the cell surface markers of macrophages and dendritic cells (e.g. F4/80, CD11b and CD11c) such that the nomenclature can be confusing and experimental data open to more than one interpretation (1, 2, 4). For example, the majority of resident renal mononuclear phagocytes express CD11c that has often been used as a marker of dendritic cells. However, analysis of renal F4/80+CD11c+ cells for cell surface markers and function indicate that they express scavenger receptors (CD206 and CD204) and are very phagocytic cells with limited capacity to present antigen – typical features of macrophages (5). Additional studies highlight the fact that the kidney contains multiple sub-populations of cells with features of dendritic cells or macrophages (6).

During disease the resident macrophage population is increased by the recruitment of monocyte from the circulation driven by chemokines such as CCL2 and their subsequent differentiation to macrophages. In addition, renal

expression of the monocyte/macrophage growth factor colony stimulating factor-1 (CSF-1) is increased in the inflamed kidney (7, 8). CSF-1 is an important and mediates the survival, proliferation and differentiation of monocytes and macrophages such that increased CSF-1 expression leads to significant macrophage proliferation that expands renal macrophage number (9-12).

Macrophages encounter myriad stimuli within normal, injured, healing and fibrotic tissues such as hypoxia, cytokines, chemokines, reactive oxygen species, apoptotic cells and debris. Macrophages need to integrate these potentially competing signals in order to adopt a phenotype deemed appropriate to the situation. Experimental *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies have shown that macrophages may adopt a range of diverse phenotypes broadly categorised as the pro-inflammatory M1 phenotype or wound healing M2 phenotype (13).

Exposure to Toll-like receptor (TLR) ligands such as pathogen-derived endotoxin or damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) released during sterile tissue injury (14) and cytokines such as interferon- $\gamma$  (IFN $\gamma$ ) induce M1 macrophage polarisation. M1 macrophages upregulate cytotoxic and microbicidal mediators such as tumour necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ) and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and may exhibit increased expression of Ly6C and HLA-DR. Although the M1 phenotype is appropriate for dealing with infective pathogens it is associated with tissue injury in sterile inflammation.

Transcription factors are involved in regulating the genes involved in macrophage programming. For example, the transcription factor interferon regulatory factor 5 (IRF5) plays a key role in the induction of the pro-inflammatory M1 phenotype such that siRNA mediated silencing of IRF5 can limit M1 macrophage activation and promote M2 macrophage activation *in vivo* with resultant amelioration of tissue injury in models of cardiac and spinal cord injury (15, 16).

Exposure to cytokines such as IL-10 and IL-4, immune complexes as well as the ingestion of apoptotic cells induces M2 macrophage polarisation. M2 macrophages upregulate arginase activity and typically express increased levels of scavenger receptors such as CD206, CD204 and CD163. Although M2 macrophages are anti-inflammatory and termed wound healing they are often associated with maladaptive renal fibrosis.

Macrophages may exert immunoregulatory functions and cells termed regulatory macrophages (Mregs) have been implicated in the development of tolerance to allografts (17). Mregs express few M1 or M2 markers with production of IL-10 being key for their immunosuppressive actions that include the inhibition of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell responses and induction of regulatory T cells. Recent work, albeit using a murine vascularised cardiac transplant model, suggests that Mreg generation requires the actions of CSF-1 and TLR-4 engagement (18). Mregs expressed the cell surface marker DC-SIGN and were key to the induction of tolerance by costimulatory blockade as inhibition of these cells abrogated tolerance (18).

Despite the utility of the M1/M2 paradigm it should be appreciated that the biological reality is much more complex with subtle but important differences between different activation stimuli (19-21). As a result, many additional phenotypes will undoubtedly exist including mixed macrophage phenotypes where M1 and M2 markers may co-exist occur (22, 23).

### **Insights from experimental models of renal disease and macrophage depletion studies**

In an attempt to mimic human disease, investigators have developed multiple experimental models of renal injury in rodents that can be employed in mice deficient in chemokines (CCL2) or chemokine receptors (CCR2 and CX3CR1) involved in monocyte/macrophage recruitment. This strategy has demonstrated that monocytes/macrophages caused kidney injury in multiple

experimental models including nephrotoxic nephritis (24), diabetic nephropathy (25) and renal ischaemia-reperfusion injury (IRI) (26).

Liposomal clodronate is cytotoxic following uptake by cells and has been a useful tool to deplete monocytes/macrophages in various organs as it targets the phagocytic macrophage. Studies have shown renal protection following clodronate-mediated macrophage depletion in multiple models of kidney injury or disease including cystic renal disease (27-31). The development of transgenic mice in which the expression of the human or simian diphtheria toxin receptor (DTR) is under the control of the CD11b promoter has allowed the relative selective depletion of CD11b<sup>+</sup> monocytes and macrophages by the administration of diphtheria toxin (DT) to mice (32). This system has demonstrated reduced injury or fibrosis following monocyte/macrophage depletion in models of fibrosis (33), nephrotoxic nephritis (34) and murine transplantation (35). Interestingly, no protection was evident in murine renal IRI (36) though the addition of clodronate to DT conferred protection (37).

It is important to bear in mind that macrophage are not always injurious or pro-fibrotic as the critical reparative role of the macrophage has been highlighted by studies of macrophage depletion using liposomal clodronate or CD11b/DTR mice in the reparative phase of the renal IRI model. This phase is characterised by the restoration of renal function and tubular repair and macrophage depletion is highly detrimental as it results in increased mortality, prolonged injury and failure of tubular repair (38-41). During renal repair, macrophages are an important source of mediators such as Wnt7b and IL-22 that promote tubular epithelial proliferation (40, 42).

Lastly, it should be noted that few studies have attempted to dissect the roles of resident macrophages versus infiltrating monocyte-derived macrophages to determine which macrophage population is key to injury and fibrosis as interventions to deplete macrophages typically exert effects upon both populations. In order to explore this question, Lin et al used bone marrow transplantation to generate chimeric CD11b/DTR mice such that the administration of DT would either deplete resident renal macrophages or

infiltrating monocyte-derived macrophages (43). These studies used the model of unilateral ureteric obstruction that exhibits marked interstitial fibrosis with a dramatic macrophage infiltrate. DT-induced depletion of DTR+ infiltrating monocyte-derived macrophages was markedly anti-fibrotic. In contrast, the targeted depletion of DTR+ resident macrophages did not affect fibrosis despite the fact that they constituted up to 40% of the total macrophage population.

Although the majority of patients with significant renal disease are elderly, the vast majority experimental rodent studies are undertaken in young animals. It is pertinent that aged mice develop much worse acute kidney injury following renal IRI (44, 45) with the induction of the cytoprotective enzyme hemoxygenase-1 (HO-1) being less robust compared to young mice. The administration of the potent HO-1 inducer heme arginate strongly protected aged mice from renal IRI with monocyte/macrophage HO-1 expression being critical. Other macrophage functions such as the phagocytosis of apoptotic cells have been noted to be abnormal in aging mice (46) with a defect in both resident and recruited macrophage phagocytosis evident. It is thus likely that the monocytes and macrophages of elderly patients may behave differently to younger individuals.

Although the number and phenotype of endogenous macrophages may be the target of interventions, it is also of interest that the exogenous administration of anti-inflammatory or M2 macrophages can ameliorate both acute and chronic experimental disease (47-49).

### **The Regulation of Macrophage Phenotype *In Vivo***

It thus appears that macrophages may be cytotoxic (M1), reparative (M2) or pro-fibrotic (M2) within the kidney. An important question that has been addressed recently is whether these differing M1/M2 macrophage phenotypes are directly derived from either resident macrophages or recruited monocytes or whether macrophages can change their phenotype within the kidney as a



result of changes in the renal microenvironment. Lee et al performed elegant adoptive transfer experiments involving the administration of fluorescently labelled bone marrow-derived macrophages programmed *in vitro* to adopt a M1 phenotype to mice shortly after the induction of renal IRI (39). The labelled cells were retrieved at later time points and were found to have a M2 phenotype as they exhibited downregulation of iNOS expression and upregulation of CD206 expression. This study indicated that macrophage phenotype is dynamic and can evolve during the injury and repair phase of renal injury (Figure 1).

Further work has highlighted the importance of the renal expression of macrophage growth and differentiation factors by tubular epithelial cells in the beneficial reprogramming of pro-inflammatory M1 macrophages to reparative M2 macrophages with a role for both CSF-1 (also termed macrophage- colony stimulating factor, M-CSF) (50, 51) and granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) (52). The effect of CSF-1 upon M1 macrophage reprogramming may be via the induction of microRNA-24 (53) though renal data is lacking at present.

In the light of the beneficial role of CSF-1 in modulating the phenotype of macrophages, it is intriguing that strategies to inhibit the function of CSF-1 using function blocking antibodies or drugs that target activation of the CSF-1 receptor have been shown to be protective in a wide range of experimental models (54-58). These studies suggest that reducing macrophage proliferation and number is beneficial in situations where there are excessive numbers of macrophages driving injury or fibrosis. In contrast, the exogenous administration of CSF-1 following experimental murine IRI significantly improved renal repair suggesting that augmenting the population of macrophages involved in renal repair is highly beneficial (59).

Recent work has suggested an important role for retinoic acid in modulating macrophage phenotype via the direct inhibition of M1 macrophages and the promotion of tubular cell induction of M2 macrophages indicating that there are multiple pathways to manipulate macrophage phenotype (60).

## Macrophages – Key Players in Human Disease

Macrophages are present in various human renal disease including various causes of chronic kidney disease including diabetes (61, 62), polycystic kidney disease, kidney allograft rejection (63), chronic allograft nephropathy (64, 65) and acute kidney injury (22, 65). Studies have demonstrated a strong association between the extent of macrophage infiltration and functional outcome (66). A recent study of paediatric kidney transplant recipients with chronic allograft nephropathy demonstrated CD163+ M2 macrophage in fibrotic areas of the kidney with CD163+ cell number correlating with interstitial fibrosis and renal function (64). Interestingly, urine CD163 levels also correlated with fibrosis suggesting the potential for using urine markers of macrophage phenotype as a biomarker of renal scarring. Similarly, in a study of 1-year renal transplant biopsies from adult transplant recipients the numbers of CD206+ macrophages correlated with both fibrosis and renal function at 3 years following transplantation (67). Additional recent work highlights the involvement of macrophages in lupus nephritis (68) with the number of interstitial CD68+ macrophages correlating with renal function and fibrosis. A minority of macrophages were iNOS+ M1 macrophages with the majority being positive for the M2 markers CD206 and CD163. The proportions of iNOS+, CD206 or CD163 varied between glomerular and interstitial compartments and between classes of lupus nephritis. The predominance of M2 macrophages over M1 macrophages may reflect patients undergoing a renal biopsy at a later stage of disease than is usual in experimental models of lupus nephritis as well as the potential effects of drug treatment such as steroids that can induce a M2 phenotype.

## Potential Therapeutic Approaches to Target Macrophages

In view of the complexity of macrophage phenotype and their involvement in multiple aspects of kidney disease (acute kidney injury, renal repair, glomerulonephritis, fibrosis etc) the timing of interventions directed to manipulate macrophage phenotype or number will need to be carefully

considered. Some therapies currently in use will exert effects upon macrophages. For example, glucocorticoids increase the phagocytic capabilities of macrophages and induce an anti-inflammatory phenotype (69). Potential strategies to limit macrophage numbers include the inhibition of chemokines involved in the recruitment of monocytes to the kidney and there are clinical trials in progress that are targeting the CCL2/CCR2 axis in patients with renal disease such as diabetic nephropathy. There is also potential for the inhibition of growth factors such as CSF-1 in situations where macrophage are driving injury and/or fibrosis or the administration of exogenous CSF-1 to bolster a reparative macrophage population. Although macrophage cell therapy for inflammatory renal disease has not been undertaken thus far, the effect of administering donor-derived regulatory macrophages generated *in vitro* was examined in 2 patients undergoing live donor kidney transplantation (70). Graft function remained stable over 3 years with the patients being maintained on tacrolimus monotherapy. In addition, the peripheral blood gene signature of these patients was similar to that found in tolerant patients. The administration of Mregs is now being tested in the active ONE Study Mreg trial that aims to increase tolerance in living donor transplant recipients (NCT02085629).

## Conclusion

Macrophages are remarkably versatile cells and, although they may assist tissue remodelling, they are often associated with tissue injury and disease progression. A deeper understanding of the cellular and molecular mechanisms that mediate the diverse functions of macrophages in renal disease should allow the development of novel therapies that may have applicability to multiple organs.

**Figure 1 - Macrophage phenotype within the diseased kidney**

Renal injury and inflammation leads to the release of pro-inflammatory mediators including chemokines, cytokines and damage associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). These and other mediators result in the induction of a pro-inflammatory cytotoxic M1 macrophage. Upregulated tubular expression of colony stimulating factor-1 (CSF-1) expression induces macrophage proliferation that may promote ongoing renal injury in the presence of persistent inflammation. During repair, various mediators including IL-10, apoptotic cells and the growth factors CSF-1 and granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor-1 (GM-CSF) promote the phenotypic switch to anti-inflammatory wound healing M2 macrophages that may promote the restoration of tubular integrity by releasing mitogens such as Wnt7b and IL-22.

## References

1. Nelson PJ, Rees AJ, Griffin MD, Hughes J, Kurts C, Duffield J. The renal mononuclear phagocytic system. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2012;23(2):194-203.
2. Rogers NM, Ferenbach DA, Isenberg JS, Thomson AW, Hughes J. Dendritic cells and macrophages in the kidney: a spectrum of good and evil. *Nat Rev Nephrol*. 2014;10(11):625-43.
3. Weisheit CK, Engel DR, Kurts C. Dendritic Cells and Macrophages: Sentinels in the Kidney. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2015;10(10):1841-51.
4. Gottschalk C, Kurts C. The Debate about Dendritic Cells and Macrophages in the Kidney. *Frontiers in immunology*. 2015;6:435.
5. Cao Q, Wang Y, Wang XM, Lu J, Lee VW, Ye Q, et al. Renal F4/80+ CD11c+ mononuclear phagocytes display phenotypic and functional characteristics of macrophages in health and in adriamycin nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2015;26(2):349-63.
6. Kawakami T, Lichtnekert J, Thompson LJ, Karna P, Bouabe H, Hohl TM, et al. Resident renal mononuclear phagocytes comprise five discrete populations with distinct phenotypes and functions. *J Immunol*. 2013;191(6):3358-72.
7. Isbel NM, Hill PA, Foti R, Mu W, Hurst LA, Stambe C, et al. Tubules are the major site of M-CSF production in experimental kidney disease: correlation with local macrophage proliferation. *Kidney Int*. 2001;60(2):614-25.
8. Isbel NM, Nikolic-Paterson DJ, Hill PA, Dowling J, Atkins RC. Local macrophage proliferation correlates with increased renal M-CSF expression in human glomerulonephritis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2001;16(8):1638-47.
9. Yang N, Isbel NM, Nikolic-Paterson DJ, Li Y, Ye R, Atkins RC, et al. Local macrophage proliferation in human glomerulonephritis. *Kidney Int*. 1998;54(1):143-51.
10. Lan HY, Nikolic-Paterson DJ, Mu W, Atkins RC. Local macrophage proliferation in the pathogenesis of glomerular crescent formation in rat anti-glomerular basement membrane (GBM) glomerulonephritis. *Clin Exp Immunol*. 1997;110(2):233-40.
11. Lan HY, Nikolic-Paterson DJ, Mu W, Atkins RC. Local macrophage proliferation in progressive renal injury. *Contrib Nephrol*. 1996;118:100-8.
12. Lan HY, Nikolic-Paterson DJ, Mu W, Atkins RC. Local macrophage proliferation in the progression of glomerular and tubulointerstitial injury in rat anti-GBM glomerulonephritis. *Kidney Int*. 1995;48(3):753-60.
13. Rees AJ. Monocyte and macrophage biology: an overview. *Semin Nephrol*. 2010;30(3):216-33.
14. Tian S, Zhang L, Tang J, Guo X, Dong K, Chen SY. HMGB1 exacerbates renal tubulointerstitial fibrosis through facilitating M1 macrophage phenotype at the early stage of obstructive injury. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol*. 2015;308(1):F69-75.
15. Li J, Liu Y, Xu H, Fu Q. Nanoparticle-Delivered IRF5 siRNA Facilitates M1 to M2 Transition, Reduces Demyelination and Neurofilament Loss, and Promotes Functional Recovery After Spinal Cord Injury in Mice. *Inflammation*. 2016.
16. Courties G, Heidt T, Sebas M, Iwamoto Y, Jeon D, Truelove J, et al. In vivo silencing of the transcription factor IRF5 reprograms the macrophage phenotype and improves infarct healing. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;63(15):1556-66.
17. Salehi S, Reed EF. The divergent roles of macrophages in solid organ transplantation. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant*. 2015;20(4):446-53.

18. Conde P, Rodriguez M, van der Touw W, Jimenez A, Burns M, Miller J, et al. DC-SIGN(+) Macrophages Control the Induction of Transplantation Tolerance. *Immunity*. 2015;42(6):1143-58.
19. Murray PJ, Allen JE, Biswas SK, Fisher EA, Gilroy DW, Goerdt S, et al. Macrophage activation and polarization: nomenclature and experimental guidelines. *Immunity*. 2014;41(1):14-20.
20. Sica A, Mantovani A. Macrophage plasticity and polarization: in vivo veritas. *J Clin Invest*. 2012;122(3):787-95.
21. Hume DA. The Many Alternative Faces of Macrophage Activation. *Frontiers in immunology*. 2015;6:370.
22. Belliere J, Casemayou A, Ducasse L, Zakaroff-Girard A, Martins F, Iacovoni JS, et al. Specific macrophage subtypes influence the progression of rhabdomyolysis-induced kidney injury. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2015;26(6):1363-77.
23. Clements M, Gershenovich M, Chaber C, Campos-Rivera J, Du P, Zhang M, et al. Differential Ly6C Expression after Renal Ischemia-Reperfusion Identifies Unique Macrophage Populations. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2016;27(1):159-70.
24. Tesch GH, Schwarting A, Kinoshita K, Lan HY, Rollins BJ, Kelley VR. Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 promotes macrophage-mediated tubular injury, but not glomerular injury, in nephrotoxic serum nephritis. *J Clin Invest*. 1999;103(1):73-80.
25. Chow FY, Nikolic-Paterson DJ, Ozols E, Atkins RC, Rollin BJ, Tesch GH. Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 promotes the development of diabetic renal injury in streptozotocin-treated mice. *Kidney Int*. 2006;69(1):73-80.
26. Li L, Huang L, Sung SS, Vergis AL, Rosin DL, Rose CE, Jr., et al. The chemokine receptors CCR2 and CX3CR1 mediate monocyte/macrophage trafficking in kidney ischemia-reperfusion injury. *Kidney Int*. 2008;74(12):1526-37.
27. D'Souza MJ, Oettinger CW, Shah A, Tipping PG, Huang XR, Milton GV. Macrophage depletion by albumin microencapsulated clodronate: attenuation of cytokine release in macrophage-dependent glomerulonephritis. *Drug Dev Ind Pharm*. 1999;25(5):591-6.
28. Jose MD, Ikezumi Y, van Rooijen N, Atkins RC, Chadban SJ. Macrophages act as effectors of tissue damage in acute renal allograft rejection. *Transplantation*. 2003;76(7):1015-22.
29. Sung SA, Jo SK, Cho WY, Won NH, Kim HK. Reduction of renal fibrosis as a result of liposome encapsulated clodronate induced macrophage depletion after unilateral ureteral obstruction in rats. *Nephron Exp Nephrol*. 2007;105(1):e1-9.
30. Day YJ, Huang L, Ye H, Linden J, Okusa MD. Renal ischemia-reperfusion injury and adenosine 2A receptor-mediated tissue protection: role of macrophages. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol*. 2005;288(4):F722-31.
31. Karihaloo A, Koraishy F, Huen SC, Lee Y, Merrick D, Caplan MJ, et al. Macrophages promote cyst growth in polycystic kidney disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2011;22(10):1809-14.
32. Cailhier JF, Partolina M, Vuthoori S, Wu S, Ko K, Watson S, et al. Conditional macrophage ablation demonstrates that resident macrophages initiate acute peritoneal inflammation. *J Immunol*. 2005;174(4):2336-42.
33. Henderson NC, Mackinnon AC, Farnworth SL, Kipari T, Haslett C, Iredale JP, et al. Galectin-3 expression and secretion links macrophages to the promotion of renal fibrosis. *Am J Pathol* 2008;172(2):288-98.



34. Duffield JS, Tipping PG, Kipari T, Cailhier JF, Clay S, Lang R, et al. Conditional ablation of macrophages halts progression of crescentic glomerulonephritis. *Am J Pathol.* 2005;167(5):1207-19.
35. Qi F, Adair A, Ferenbach D, Vass DG, Mylonas KJ, Kipari T, et al. Depletion of cells of monocyte lineage prevents loss of renal microvasculature in murine kidney transplantation. *Transplantation.* 2008;86(9):1267-74.
36. Lu L, Faubel S, He Z, Andres Hernando A, Jani A, Kedl R, et al. Depletion of macrophages and dendritic cells in ischemic acute kidney injury. *Am J Nephrol.* 2012;35(2):181-90.
37. Ferenbach DA, Sheldrake TA, Dhaliwal K, Kipari TM, Marson LP, Kluth DC, et al. Macrophage/monocyte depletion by clodronate, but not diphtheria toxin, improves renal ischemia/reperfusion injury in mice. *Kidney Int.* 2012;82(8):928-33.
38. Vinuesa E, Hotter G, Jung M, Herrero-Fresneda I, Torras J, Sola A. Macrophage involvement in the kidney repair phase after ischaemia/reperfusion injury. *J Pathol.* 2008;214(1):104-13.
39. Lee S, Huen S, Nishio H, Nishio S, Lee HK, Choi BS, et al. Distinct macrophage phenotypes contribute to kidney injury and repair. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2011;22(2):317-26.
40. Lin SL, Li B, Rao S, Yeo EJ, Hudson TE, Nowlin BT, et al. Macrophage Wnt7b is critical for kidney repair and regeneration. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010;107(9):4194-9.
41. Duffield JS. Macrophages in kidney repair and regeneration. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2011;22(2):199-201.
42. Kulkarni OP, Hartter I, Mulay SR, Hagemann J, Darisipudi MN, Kumar Vr S, et al. Toll-like receptor 4-induced IL-22 accelerates kidney regeneration. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2014;25(5):978-89.
43. Lin SL, Castano AP, Nowlin BT, Lupher ML, Jr., Duffield JS. Bone marrow Ly6Chigh monocytes are selectively recruited to injured kidney and differentiate into functionally distinct populations. *J Immunol.* 2009;183(10):6733-43.
44. Clements ME, Chaber CJ, Ledbetter SR, Zuk A. Increased cellular senescence and vascular rarefaction exacerbate the progression of kidney fibrosis in aged mice following transient ischemic injury. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(8):e70464.
45. Ferenbach DA, Nkejabega NC, McKay J, Choudhary AK, Vernon MA, Beesley MF, et al. The induction of macrophage hemeoxygenase-1 is protective during acute kidney injury in aging mice. *Kidney Int.* 2011;79(9):966-76.
46. Aprahamian T, Takemura Y, Goukassian D, Walsh K. Ageing is associated with diminished apoptotic cell clearance in vivo. *Clin Exp Immunol.* 2008;152(3):448-55.
47. Wang Y, Wang YP, Zheng G, Lee VW, Ouyang L, Chang DH, et al. Ex vivo programmed macrophages ameliorate experimental chronic inflammatory renal disease. *Kidney Int.* 2007;72(3):290-9.
48. Ferenbach DA, Ramdas V, Spencer N, Marson L, Anegon I, Hughes J, et al. Macrophages expressing heme oxygenase-1 improve renal function in ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Mol Ther.* 2010;18(9):1706-13.
49. Cao Q, Zheng D, Wang YP, Harris DC. Macrophages and dendritic cells for treating kidney disease. *Nephron Exp Nephrol.* 2011;117(3):e47-52.

50. Wang Y, Chang J, Yao B, Niu A, Kelly E, Breeggemann MC, et al. Proximal tubule-derived colony stimulating factor-1 mediates polarization of renal macrophages and dendritic cells, and recovery in acute kidney injury. *Kidney Int.* 2015;88(6):1274-82.
51. Zhang MZ, Yao B, Yang S, Jiang L, Wang S, Fan X, et al. CSF-1 signaling mediates recovery from acute kidney injury. *J Clin Invest.* 2012;122(12):4519-32.
52. Huen SC, Huynh L, Marlier A, Lee Y, Moeckel GW, Cantley LG. GM-CSF Promotes Macrophage Alternative Activation after Renal Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2015;26(6):1334-45.
53. Caescu CI, Guo X, Tesfa L, Bhagat TD, Verma A, Zheng D, et al. Colony stimulating factor-1 receptor signaling networks inhibit mouse macrophage inflammatory responses by induction of microRNA-21. *Blood.* 2015;125(8):e1-13.
54. Ma FY, Woodman N, Mulley WR, Kanellis J, Nikolic-Paterson DJ. Macrophages contribute to cellular but not humoral mechanisms of acute rejection in rat renal allografts. *Transplantation.* 2013.
55. Han Y, Ma FY, Tesch GH, Manthey CL, Nikolic-Paterson DJ. c-fms blockade reverses glomerular macrophage infiltration and halts development of crescentic anti-GBM glomerulonephritis in the rat. *Lab Invest.* 2011;91(7):978-91.
56. Ma FY, Liu J, Kitching AR, Manthey CL, Nikolic-Paterson DJ. Targeting renal macrophage accumulation via c-fms kinase reduces tubular apoptosis but fails to modify progressive fibrosis in the obstructed rat kidney. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol.* 2009;296(1):F177-85.
57. Lim AK, Ma FY, Nikolic-Paterson DJ, Thomas MC, Hurst LA, Tesch GH. Antibody blockade of c-fms suppresses the progression of inflammation and injury in early diabetic nephropathy in obese db/db mice. *Diabetologia.* 2009;52(8):1669-79.
58. Ma FY, Ikezumi Y, Nikolic-Paterson DJ. Macrophage signaling pathways: a novel target in renal disease. *Semin Nephrol.* 2010;30(3):334-44.
59. Alikhan MA, Jones CV, Williams TM, Beckhouse AG, Fletcher AL, Kett MM, et al. Colony-stimulating factor-1 promotes kidney growth and repair via alteration of macrophage responses. *Am J Pathol.* 2011;179(3):1243-56.
60. Chiba T, Skrypnyk NI, Skvarca LB, Penchev R, Zhang KX, Rochon ER, et al. Retinoic Acid Signaling Coordinates Macrophage-Dependent Injury and Repair after AKI. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2016;27(2):495-508.
61. Eardley KS, Kubal C, Zehnder D, Quinkler M, Lepenies J, Savage CO, et al. The role of capillary density, macrophage infiltration and interstitial scarring in the pathogenesis of human chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int.* 2008;74(4):495-504.
62. Nguyen D, Ping F, Mu W, Hill P, Atkins RC, Chadban SJ. Macrophage accumulation in human progressive diabetic nephropathy. *Nephrology (Carlton).* 2006;11(3):226-31.
63. Chadban SJ, Wu H, Hughes J. Macrophages and kidney transplantation. *Semin Nephrol.* 2010;30(3):278-89.
64. Ikezumi Y, Suzuki T, Yamada T, Hasegawa H, Kaneko U, Hara M, et al. Alternatively activated macrophages in the pathogenesis of chronic kidney allograft injury. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2015;30(6):1007-17.



65. Palmer MB, Vichot AA, Cantley LG, Moeckel GW. Quantification and localization of M2 macrophages in human kidneys with acute tubular injury. *International journal of nephrology and renovascular disease*. 2014;7:415-9.
66. Eardley KS, Zehnder D, Quinkler M, Lepenies J, Bates RL, Savage CO, et al. The relationship between albuminuria, MCP-1/CCL2, and interstitial macrophages in chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int*. 2006;69(7):1189-97.
67. Toki D, Zhang W, Hor KL, Liuwantara D, Alexander SI, Yi Z, et al. The role of macrophages in the development of human renal allograft fibrosis in the first year after transplantation. *Am J Transplant*. 2014;14(9):2126-36.
68. Olmes G, Buttner-Herold M, Ferrazzi F, Distel L, Amann K, Daniel C. CD163+ M2c-like macrophages predominate in renal biopsies from patients with lupus nephritis. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2015;18:90.
69. Liu Y, Cousin JM, Hughes J, Van Damme J, Seckl JR, Haslett C, et al. Glucocorticoids promote nonphlogistic phagocytosis of apoptotic leukocytes. *J Immunol*. 1999;162(6):3639-46.
70. Hutchinson JA, Riquelme P, Sawitzki B, Tomiuk S, Miqueu P, Zuhayra M, et al. Cutting Edge: Immunological consequences and trafficking of human regulatory macrophages administered to renal transplant recipients. *J Immunol*. 2011;187(5):2072-8.

